

Topology Vol. 2, pp. 209–211. Pergamon Press, 1963. Printed in Great Britain

A NON-IMMERSION THEOREM FOR REAL PROJECTIVE SPACE

B. J. SANDERSON

(Received 18 May 1963)

§1. INTRODUCTION

LET P_n denote real projective n -space, with its usual differential structure. The purpose of this note is to prove

THEOREM (1.1). P_n cannot be immersed in $(2^{r+1} - 1)$ -space where

$$\begin{aligned} n &= 2^r + r + 2 & r &\not\equiv 1 \pmod{4} \\ n &= 2^r + r + 3 & r &\equiv 1 \pmod{4}. \end{aligned}$$

The method of Stiefel–Whitney classes shows that if $n = 2^r$ then P_n , and consequently P_m for $m > n$, cannot be immersed in $(2^{r+1} - 2)$ -space. In [1] Atiyah has proved, by the method of exterior powers, that P_n cannot be immersed in $(2n - p)$ -space where p is approximately $n/2$. Theorem (1.1) first gives a new result when $n = 22$. Levine [3] and Mahowald [4] proved the analogous non-embedding theorem; P_n cannot be embedded in 2^{r+1} -space when $n = 2^r + 1$. Our theorem may be true when $n = 2^r + 2$ (if $n = 2^r + 1$ the immersion is possible (5.3) of [6], or see (5.7) of [5]). Improvements on the Stiefel–Whitney class result for complex and quaternion projective space can be obtained from (1.1) by using the fibrations of projective spaces (see (5.2) of [6]). However better results are available in this case [7].

Our methods rely heavily on the work of James, and I am indebted to him for sending me a preprint of [2].

§2. IMMERSIONS AND AXIAL MAPS

Suppose that P_q has its standard cell structure with base point e . When $r > q$ regard P_r , in the usual way, as a subspace of P_q . An *axial map* of $P_s \times P_t$ into P_q is a map

$$f: P_s \times P_t \rightarrow P_q$$

such that if $x \in P_s$ and $y \in P_t$, then

$$f(x, e) = x, \quad f(e, y) = y.$$

In this section we shall prove

THEOREM (2.1). *If P_n is immersible in $(n + k)$ -space then there exists an axial map of $P_n \times P_n$ into P_{n+k} . The converse is true if $n < 2k$.*

Let $K\tilde{O}(P_n)$ denote the reduced Grothendieck group of classes of real vector bundles over P_n . A generator of this group is the class x of the non-trivial line bundle ξ over P_n . The group is cyclic of order a power of 2 and multiplicative structure is given by $x^2 = -2x$. Let $g(rx)$ denote the *geometrical dimension*, as defined in §1 of [1], of the element $rx \in K\tilde{O}(P_n)$. The proof of (2.1) rests on the following lemma and the work of James [2].

LEMMA (2.2). $g(rx) \leq k$ if and only if $g((k-r)x) \leq k$.

Proof. Suppose $g(rx) \leq k$, then there exists a real vector bundle η such that $\theta(\eta) = rx + k$, θ being the homomorphism defined in [1]. Now $\theta(\eta \otimes \xi) = (rx + k)(x + 1) = (k-r)x + k$. Conversely if there exists a bundle ζ such that $\theta(\zeta) = (k-r)x + k$ then $\theta(\zeta \otimes \xi) = rx + k$.

The class of the tangent bundle of P_n is $(n+1)x$, hence from (2.1) of [6], or see §3 of [1], P_n is immersible in $(n+k)$ -space if and only if $g(-(n+1)x) \leq k$. Applying the lemma, with $r = -(n+1)$, to this result we have

THEOREM (2.3). P_n is immersible in $(n+k)$ -space if and only if $g((n+k+1)x) \leq k$.

Recall from [2] that a t -field on P_s of tangents to P_q is a continuous function which assigns to each point of P_s a set of t linearly independent tangent vectors to P_q at that point.

LEMMA (2.4). Suppose $s < q$, then P_s admits a t -field of tangents to P_q if and only if $g((q+1)x) \leq q-t$ on P_s .

Proof. Suppose $g((q+1)x) \leq q-t$ on P_s . Then there exists a vector bundle η such that $\theta(\eta) = (q+1)x + q-t$, and since $q > s$ the bundle sum of η with a trivial vector bundle of dimension t is isomorphic with the restriction of the tangent bundle of P_q to P_s . Hence P_s admits a t -field of tangents to P_q . The converse follows directly from the definition of geometrical dimension.

Combining (2.4) with Theorem (4.1) of [2] we have

THEOREM (2.5). There exists an axial map of $P_s \times P_t$ into P_q if $g((q+1)x) \leq q-t$ on P_s . The converse is true if $s < 2(q-t)$.

Setting $s = t = n$ and $q = n+k$ Theorem (2.1) now follows from (2.3) and (2.5).

§3. PROOF OF THEOREM (1.1)

Let $\phi(k, n)$ denote the number of values of m in the range $k \leq m \leq n$ which are congruent to 0, 1, 2 or 4 mod 8. The following theorem is a special case of a theorem proved by James using the Adams ψ operations, (6.2) of [2].

THEOREM (3.1). Let $C_{n+k,n}$ be odd. If there exists an axial map of $P_n \times P_n$ into P_{n+k} then

$$n+k+1 \text{ is a multiple of } 2^{\phi(k,n)-1} \text{ if } n \not\equiv 3 \pmod{4}$$

$$\text{and } n+k+1 \text{ is a multiple of } 2^{\phi(k,n)-2} \text{ if } n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}.$$

Suppose now that P_n is immersible in $(2^{r+1} - 1)$ -space where n is as in (1.1) and $r > 2$ to avoid triviality. It follows from (2.1) and (3.1), since by the dyadic rule $C_{n+k,n}$ is odd, that

$$r + 1 \geq \phi(2^r - r - 3, 2^r + r + 2) - 1 \quad \text{if } r \not\equiv 1 \pmod{4}$$

and

$$r + 1 \geq \phi(2^r - r - 4, 2^r + r + 3) - 2 \quad \text{if } r \equiv 1 \pmod{4}.$$

Now $\phi(2^r - r - 3, 2^r + r + 2) = r + 3$ and $\phi(2^r - r - 4, 2^r + r + 3) = r + 4$. This provides a contradiction proving (1.1).

REFERENCES

1. M. F. ATIYAH: Immersions and embeddings of manifolds, *Topology* **1** (1962), 125–132.
2. I. M. JAMES: On the immersion problem for real projective spaces, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **69** (1963), 231–238.
3. J. LEVINE: Imbedding and immersion of projective spaces, (to be published).
4. M. E. MAHOWALD: On the embeddability of the real projective spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **13** (1962), 763–764.
5. M. E. MAHOWALD: On extending cross sections in orientable $V_{k+m, m}$ bundles, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **68** (1962), 596–602.
6. B. J. SANDERSON: Immersions and embeddings of projective spaces, *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* (to be published).
7. B. J. SANDERSON and R. L. E. SCHWARZENBERGER: Non-immersion theorems for differentiable manifolds, *Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **59** (1963), 319–322.

*Department of Pure Mathematics,
University of Liverpool*